	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/435,718	RASMUSSEN, HOLGER
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Clark F. Dexter	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is s	this application. If not included inication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to the response filed on	May 20, 2004.	
2. ⊠ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-14</u> .		
3. X The drawings filed on 20 May 2004 and 02 December 200	2 are accepted by the Exam	iner.
4.	e been received. e been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file MENT of this application. Initiated. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath or set be submitted. Ison's Patent Drawing Review of Samendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CF sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE	In No If in this national stage application from the a reply complying with the requirements AMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient. If (PTO-948) attached In the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d). ERIAL must be submitted. Note the
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. Interview Su Paper No./ 98), 7. Examiner's	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) Jummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance Jummary Examiner Art Unit: 3724

Art Unit: 3724

ATTACHMENT TO NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY

Remarks

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The newly-cited prior art disclose inventions which have features similar to the claimed invention. However, these inventions, each taken alone or in combination with the prior art of record, do not teach or fairly suggest the claimed invention.

cfd July 27, 2004